EXHIBIT A (IV of V)

1	3	7	

137		
A. I don't think I believe Mr. Urban to be		
an intelligent person with an extensive railroad		
career. I don't think he really believed such a		
ridiculous allegation such as		
Q. Do you know whether excuse me. Do you		
know whether he believed it or not?		
A. I don't know for certain.		
Q. So you don't know what his belief was?		
A. No.		
Q. And the only reasons why you think he might		
not have believed it are because of your long period		
long experience working with the railroad company? Is		
that fair to say?		
A. Where we both where we both worked for		
extensive periods of time with Amtrak in various		
capacities on both the commuter rail contract and prior		
to that on the Intercity contract, he knows of my		
reputation. I know of his.		

I don't think an intelligent person, which I believe him to be, would believe something so ridiculous as Alison Leaton looking at a resume and saying that I'm discriminating because I think it's a minority candidate.

> Any other reason? Q.

Α.	No.

- Q. Turning to Stephen Nevero, name each and every way that you think Mr. Nevero wrongly interfered with your employment relationship with MBCR.
- A. In the second session, the March 30th session, he -- it was in his office. And he took the lead to introduce the meeting by saying as my immediate supervisor he regretted -- it was his duty to regret to inform me that my employment with MBCR was terminated.

And he had two letters in his possession.

One was a letter of resignation. One was a letter of termination. And I had a choice of which one to accept.

- Q. Apart from what happened in that March 30th meeting with Mr. Nevero, is there any other way that you think Mr. Nevero wrongly interfered with your employment relationship with MBCR?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. I'm asking for each way.
- A. As my immediate supervisor, during the transition in the first nine months, basically I, along with others, worked to make Steve look good, to make the thing get off the ground, both during the transition and in the first nine months to the point where I would have expected Steve to go to bat for me and to argue against

it.

I would have expected that from my immediate supervisor who best knew of the work I had performed for MBCR in the first nine months and during the transition period to ensure their success on the commuter rail.

Q. Okay. Again, I'm asking you each and every way that you think Mr. Nevero wrongly interfered with your employment relationship.

You mentioned his participation in the March 30th meeting, number one. You indicated, number two, the fact that he didn't go to bat for you. Is there any other way that you think Mr. Nevero wrongly interfered with your employment relationship?

A. Yes. Relating to both the meetings,
Mr. Nevero, you know, again, as my immediate supervisor,
I had bailed him out and made him look good on many
different aspects of the transition and the first nine
months, including the first winter snowstorm.

What I would have expected from him would be a common courtesy. He knew -- he had advance knowledge of these two meetings. He had advance knowledge of the content and the gist of what was going to be discussed. I think it would have been reasonable

and fair for my immediate supervisor to tip me off -give me a little warning or a little -- so I could be
prepared, a little information in advance that what the
nature of the meetings were.

I think that would have been common courtesy from somebody -- from my immediate supervisor. I would have expected a little more.

- Q. Okay. And, again, I'm just asking you to list every reason that you think Mr. Nevero wrongly interfered with your employment relationship with MBCR. You've mentioned three things. Are there any other ways in which you believe he wrongly interfered -- wrongfully interfered with your employment relationship?
- A. Well, again, elaborating on the third point
- Q. I'm going to go back and elaborate -- I'm going to give you the opportunity to elaborate. I'm just trying to get the list out.
- A. Okay. All right. Specifically, before the second meeting, he -- it was on that March 30th date.

 Approximately 11 o'clock in the morning, I'm in my office conducting my normal duties. He popped his head in my door and said, Listen, there's a meeting in my office at 3 o'clock. We'd like you to be there. I'd

like you to be there.

I said, What's the nature of the meeting?

He goes, Well, it's among the same three people that you had a meeting with last Friday. And that was it. I mean, I asked for a little more information. Nothing.

You know, I think Steve could have been a little more forthcoming, you know.

- Q. Okay. Any other way in which you think
 Mr. Nevero wrongfully interfered with your employment
 relationship with MBCR?
- A. Again, Mr. Nevero is a long-time railroad employee and has vast experience in all aspects of railroad engineering. While I worked for him directly a short time, we knew of each other. He was the chief engineer in '86 with Gilford when Amtrak came up and assumed the commuter contract.

I had met him several times then as part of the transition back then and talked to him and saw him over the years at various times. So we knew of each other's reputation.

I would have expected Steve to -- again, not to give credibility to this six-month, basically, temporary contract employee, Ms. Leaton. To give credence to her allegations versus mine, I didn't think

2.0

it was proper.

- Q. Any other way in which you think Mr. Nevero acted wrongfully in terminating -- in whatever his role was in terminating your employment relationship with MBCR?
 - A. No.
- Q. Okay. I'm just going to list the five reasons I have written down to make sure that there's nothing else. First, you indicated his participation in the March 30th session. Second, you indicated his failure to go to bat with you. Third, you indicated his failure to give advance notice of the March 26th meeting. Fourth, failure to give you, really, advance notice of what was going to happen at the March 30th meeting. And fourth was his giving credence to Alison Leaton's allegation -- or fifth if I said that wrong.

Is that a correct statement of all the reasons why you think Mr. Nevero wrongfully interfered with your employment relationship?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. What was it -- and, again, I'm going to go back through each of those to understand what you felt was wrongful. What was wrongful about Mr. Nevero's involvement in the March 30th meeting? What did he do

2.0

could have said, You know, what's going on? There's some allegations being made -- anything so that I could have properly prepared.

I was trying to get this information from Ms. Bowden without success. After everything I did for Mr. Nevero in making him look good as the chief engineer during the transition and in the first nine months through the snowstorms, whatever, I don't think it would have been too much to ask for a little consideration. Just give me a few hints of what the subject of the meeting was so I might be able to prepare a little more intelligently than just walking in and being blind-sided.

- Q. And you also indicated that Mr. Nevero acted wrongfully by believing Alison Leaton's allegations. What was wrongful about Mr. Nevero believing Alison Leaton as opposed to you?
- A. I think he testified he didn't even know -he barely ever met Alison Leaton. For the chief
 engineer with over 30 years' railroad experience to take
 the word of some stranger, some independent contract
 employee he doesn't even know versus somebody who's
 worked with him the last nine months every day, through
 snowstorms, different crises that came up to get this

thing off the ground, to try and prevent problems during the six-month transition period, to look ahead and try to eliminate problems that would have developed, to try and make sure the place ran smooth, I don't think it was too much to expect for a little -- a little more consideration. I got nothing.

- Q. Is there any other reason why you think it was wrongful for him to believe Alison Leaton as opposed to you based on -- other than what you've just testified to?
- A. Yes. Because the premise was so absurd that looking at a resume anybody could tell whether somebody is a minority or not and to base the entire scenario on that one fact is just ridiculous for somebody with 30 plus years' railroad experience.
- Q. Is there any other reason other than what you've already testified to as to why you think that Mr. Nevero acted wrongfully by believing Alison Leaton instead of you?
 - A. No.
 - Q. When did you first meet Steve Nevero?
- A. Well, for sure it was December of 1986. I might have met him before that, but I can't remember back that far. I know December '86 Amtrak was assuming

the commuter rail contract from Gilford. And Steve was the chief engineer of Gilford and so I was up here on a transition team to get around the property.

And we went and met at several joint facilities where we were going to identify the maintenance responsibility where we coincided -- Gilford and commuter rail coincided. We were going to go in and delineate the maintenance responsibility.

- Q. Before you started working at Mass. Bay
 Commuter Rail, in the period of time before that, how
 much did your work bring you into contact with Stephen
 Nevero?
- A. Very -- very seldom. I mean, occasionally there would be -- but few and far between. Very seldom.
- Q. And, again, in that period of time before he and you became MBCR employees, did you have any experience or involvement in hiring decisions where Steve Nevero would have been aware of your role?
 - A. No.
- Q. Did you ever have any relationship with Steve Nevero outside of work?
 - A. No.
- Q. Turning to the period of time when you became an MBCR employee, Mr. Nevero was your supervisor?

- A. Correct.
- Q. And did you work with him closely?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And did you enjoy a good working relationship with Mr. Nevero?
 - A. I'd say it was decent.
- Q. Did you have any difficulties with Mr. Nevero before the incident that led to your termination?
- A. The only difficulty is -- if you would classify it as that, was, as I alluded to earlier, this constant disagreement where I knew we were shorthanded. I demonstrated -- I documented -- I gave him 37 names in that memo that's already an exhibit of how shorthanded -- again, this is during the summer of 03. I knew what was coming. I knew the winter was going to be a disaster because MBCR wasn't hiring anybody.

I was so frustrated that I could see what was going to happen, and I tried to implore Steve to convince MBCR to hire, without success. And then the catastrophe hits December 6th and 7th. An employee gets killed in a complete fiasco in a snowstorm. Do you know how frustrating it is to know what's going to happen and you try to take steps to prevent it and the individual

And I attached to the original -- you don't have it on your copy -- I attached a list of 37 names of people that were now, through attrition, no longer employed through retirement or resignation or whatever. And he had the audacity at that meeting to say, No, I disagree with that. We're not 37 short. We based it on an Amtrak budget, and we're not 37 short. You could only go around and around so much. I mean, it was an absolute lie.

- Q. Did Mr. Nevero ever do or say anything in your presence that indicated that he wanted your employment with MBCR to end?
 - A. No.
- Q. Did you ever learn that Mr. Nevero did or said anything outside of your presence that indicated he wanted your employment with MBCR to end?
 - A. No.
- Q. Are you aware of any reasons that Mr. Nevero may have wanted your employment to end?
- A. Only one possibility, that if he was instructed -- Mr. Lydon wanted to place his cousin, Bob Johnson, in my job. Perhaps the word came down that I had to vacate the position. Mr. Nevero was loyal. Whatever his boss tells him, he will do.

	157
1	Q. Other than that, is there any other reason
2	that you think that Mr. Nevero may have wanted your
3	employment to end?
4	A. No.
5	Q. And your statement about Kevin Lydon maybe
6	wanting to put his cousin in your job, what makes you
7	think that do you have any basis to believe that
8	Mr. Lydon communicated that to Mr. Nevero?
9	A. No. I mean, it happened. I mean,
10	Mr. Johnson, shortly after my demise, was hired and
11	filled my position. But I have no I cannot prove it
12	yet.
13	Q. Okay. But I'm asking you a different
14	I'm not asking what you can prove. I'm asking you what
15	you know at this point.
16	Do you have any basis to believe that Kevin
17	Lydon communicated to Stephen Nevero that Kevin Lydon
18	wanted to put his cousin in your position?
19	A. No.
20	Q. Other than your speculation, do you have
21	any reason to believe that Mr. Nevero was motivated by
22	the goal of putting Kevin Lydon's cousin in your
23	position?
24	A. No.

- Q. Other than speculation, do you have any reason to conclude that Kevin Lydon wanted your position to end so he could put his cousin in your job?
 - A. Yes.
 - O. And what is that?
- A. The fact that it's just incomprehensible to me that Mr. Lydon, in five seconds, can make a decision to terminate my 28-year railroad career when a few years previously Mr. Lydon had signed an Amtrak evaluation indicating that I exceeded the minority -- the 30 percent hiring guideline of 30 percent and was rated way above average for all of my hiring practices, affirmative action, equal employment opportunity, et cetera, females in non-traditional roles, et cetera, et cetera.

It's incomprehensible to me that Mr. Lydon, based on my record, which he had knowledge of because he signed one of my evaluations; he was the Amtrak general manager -- incomprehensible to me that a responsible chief executive like that could make a decision in five minutes to terminate a long-time railroad career without some other ulterior motive, incomprehensible.

Q. Turning back to Stephen Nevero, do you have any reason to conclude that Mr. Nevero acted improperly

1.2

2.0

in looking into the allegations that were brought by Alison Leaton?

- A. Yes. As I testified earlier --
- Q. I'm not talking about the manner in which he participated in the investigation. I'm talking about him simply looking into the allegations. Are you saying it was wrong for him even to look into the allegations?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And what was wrong about him looking into the allegations?
- A. As I alluded to earlier, I worked with this men every day for nine months plus the six-month transition period off and on, made him look good, made the transition look good, made him look good, did a lot of work behind the scenes to ensure this thing happened.

Contrary to MBCR's belief, they weren't the reason why there was a smooth transition. It was the people in the trenches doing the work. In consideration that -- I thought -- I would have thought that

Mr. Nevero or any responsible management person -- how could they give credence to such a ridiculous allegation about one resume and terminate somebody's career on that basis versus the whole body of work? It's just incongruous.

	162
1	shape or form was it an impartial investigation. It was
2	an inquisition. It was assumed that what Leaton was
3	saying was correct. And it wasn't any kind of a fair
4	investigation.
5	Q. Okay.
6	MR. TEAGUE: Let's wait a minute. Let me
7	take a minute.
8	MS. RUBIN: Okay.
9	(Recess.)
10	Q. Mr. Mistovich, I'm going to repeat the
11	question. And my question is: Was it wrong for
12	Mr. Nevero simply to look into the allegations? I'm not
13	talking about the manner in which he looked into the
14	allegations. I'm simply talking about whether you think
1 5	it was wrongful for him to even look into them.
16	A. Yes. It was wrong to investigate something
17	on such a specious charge. It was absolutely
18	ridiculous, I thought.
19	Q. Okay. So you thought he shouldn't even
20	have looked into the allegations?
21	A. Correct.
22	Q. And the reason for that is because of his
23	experience working with you?
24	A. That and based on such a ridiculous charge

based on such ridiculous allegations from 1	Leaton.
---	---------

- Q. Okay. So you thought he shouldn't even have looked into it based on the allegations themselves, which you thought was ridiculous, and based on his knowledge from working with you?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Is there any other reason why you think
 Mr. Nevero shouldn't even have looked into the
 allegations?
 - A. No.
- Q. And you indicated that you believe

 Mr. Nevero concluded that Alison Leaton's accusations

 were correct. Is that fair to say?
 - A. No.
 - Q. What is wrong with that?
- A. His testimony the other day where he said he believed I was guilty of what I was charged with contradicted his notes from the original meeting which didn't allude in any way, shape or form to those conclusions. So it would indicate to me that Mr. Nevero was coached or coerced or somehow convinced to change his mind based on his observations and the notes he had from the first meeting.
 - Q. And apart from what you refer to, is there

any	other	reason	why	you	think	Mr.	Nevero	didn't	believe
Alis	on Le	aton's	accus	satio	ons?				

- A. I don't think he believed them because, again, as -- again, it's more contradictory testimony. But it was Mr. Urban testified that Mr. Nevero's take on it was that he felt termination was too severe and recommended a demotion with a restriction from being involved in hiring activities. So there's contradictory testimony.
- Q. Do you have any information as you sit here today that leads you to believe that Mr. Nevero did not believe you were discriminating?
 - A. Can you repeat that one?
- Q. Yes. Do you have any reason to believe that Mr. Nevero did not believe you were discriminating against black applicants?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And what was that?
- A. I believe his own notes from that first meeting I don't think indicated any way, shape or form that I was discriminating. I don't think he drew that conclusion. And that's the basis for that.
- Q. So the basis for your statement that
 Mr. Nevero did not believe you were discriminating is

165 purely based on a review of Mr. Nevero's notes; is that 1 2 correct? 3 Α. No. Okay. 4 Q. As I just said, based on the notes and 5 Α. 6 based on Mr. Urban's testimony that his recollection was 7 Mr. Nevero recommended for less than termination, for a demotion and restriction from any hiring activities. 8 Any other reason? 9 Q. 10 Α. No. Let's see the exhibits. I'm handing you 11 Q. what has been previously marked Deposition Exhibit 7. 12 13 Are those the notes that you're referring to when you say those -- what led you to conclude that Mr. Nevero 14 did not believe you were discriminating? 15 Α. 16 Yes. 17 Q. If you look at this first bullet point in 18 the note, Mr. Mistovich's initial response to Elizabeth Bowden's questions, Did you say to Alison Leaton that 19 you had trouble with them was, quote, I can show you a 2.0 21 box of documents in my office that will show you the problems, end quote. Do you see that? 22 23 Α. Yes. 24 Do you recall Mr. Nevero's testimony that Q.

1	he had concluded that you were discriminating as a
2	result of that statement?
3	A. No.
4	Q. You don't recall Mr. Nevero's testimony at
5	his deposition that he concluded that you were
6	discriminating?
7	A. No.
8	Q. And as you look at that statement that's
9	written down there, is there anything in it that
10	suggests to you that Mr. Nevero thought you were not
11	discriminating?
12	A. Indeterminate. You can't tell.
13	Q. You can't tell from that you're saying
14	you can't tell from that whether he thought you were
15	discriminating or not?
16	A. Correct.
17	Q. So what makes you say that based on a
18	review of this document you concluded that he determined
19	that you had not discriminated?
20	A. Well, it certainly doesn't conclude that he
21	determined I did discriminate.
22	Q. And that's the sole basis for your
23	statement?
24	A. As I've said, back in Mr. Urban's

1	A. No, I don't recall that.
2	Q. Okay. Do you recall me asking you each and
3	every way in which Mr. Nevero wrongfully interfered with
4	your employment relationship with MBCR?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And do you recall that one of the reasons
7	that you gave me for him wrongfully interfering with
8	your employment relationship with MBCR was that he chose
9	to credit to give credibility to Alison Leaton's
10	allegations rather than to you?
11	A. That's what he testified in his own
12	testimony.
13	Q. I'm asking you what your belief is. I
14	don't care what he testified. I'm asking you what you
15	believe.
16	A. I'm not sure.
17	Q. Okay. So you're not sure if he gave
18	credibility to Alison Leaton's allegations?
19	A. I don't know.
20	Q. And is there something about Mr. Urban's
21	statement that you referred to with Mr. Nevero saying
22	that he was recommending something less than a demotion
23	that makes you think or conclude that Mr. Nevero did not

believe you were discriminating?

Q.

23

24

Okay.

175 couldn't he still have concluded that you were 1 discriminating? I don't know. You'd have to ask him. Α. 3 Okay. So I'm just trying to -- you had 4 Q. indicated that because he had been seeking a demotion, 5 that was some evidence you had in your mind that he 6 7 concluded that you may not have been discriminating. I'm just trying to understand what you meant by that. 8 The question is --Α. 9 The question is: What was it about 0. 10 Mr. Urban's relaying of Mr. Nevero's comment about the 11 demotion that makes you think that Mr. Nevero may have 12 concluded that you were not discriminating? 13 The fact that he was recommending a lesser Α. 14 15 punishment. So if he concluded that you were 16 0. discriminating, you think he would have recommended a 17 18 termination? 19 Α. I don't know. So do you know then whether this statement 20 0. indicates a belief whether you were discriminating? 21 Α. I can't tell for certain. 22

concluded that you had done nothing wrong that he would

And do you believe if Mr. Nevero had

the other.

1	have recommended a demotion?
2	A. Possibly he would have to salvage
3	salvage my career. And it's possible he would have done
4	that to save me from the termination.
5	Q. But you're speculating; is that correct?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Do you have any reason to conclude that
8	Mr. Nevero's role in your termination was based on
9	anything other than a belief that you were
10	discriminating?
11	A. I can't be sure. I can't be sure based on
12	Steve's entire career. He's sacrificed many people but
13	always kept his job. He's chopped many people in his
14	career because his boss told him to.
15	Q. So are you saying that it's possible that
16	Mr. Nevero may have played a role in your termination
17	because he was told to do so?
18	A. It's possible.
19	Q. Do you have any information one way or the
20	other?
21	A. I can't prove it yet.
22	Q. Again, I'm not asking what you can prove.
23	I'm asking whether you have any information one way or

Δ	No
~~•	INO

- Q. Is there anything that Mr. Nevero did or said that indicated his involvement in this was motivated by anything other than a belief that you were discriminating?
 - A. No.
- Q. Let's turn to Alison Leaton. Name each and every way you think that Alison Leaton wrongly interfered with your employment relationship with MBCR.
- A. Well, apparently this termination started with an allegation that Leaton made to Bowden that I was excluding resumes based on where a candidate lived and the thought that I could determine whether they were a minority or not.
 - Q. And when did you come to hear that?
- A. Well, those were the allegations made at the first meeting. By the time the first meeting concluded, that was made very clear to me that was the gist of the allegation.
- Q. That was the March 26th meeting you're referring to?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And apart from Alison Leaton making comments to Liz Bowden to this effect, is there any

1.2

other way that you think Alison Leaton wrongfully interfered with your employment relationship at MBCR?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. I want you to name each reason.
- A. The original incident that led to this episode, we had three no-shows. We were scheduled for a day of interviews. There were three no-shows.

During this time we realized because of the no-shows we'd have to consider additional candidates. I had previously sent Alison Leaton all the resumes I had in my possession which I gathered from employee references. And she now showed me a stack of resumes -- I don't know, a dozen, 15, 25, something like that or a stack of resumes -- and said, We'd better start considering these because with these no-shows we are going to need to set up an additional day of interviews.

As I was sorting through the pile looking at each one, this one particular resume, as soon as I was putting -- I'd examine them. I'd put them aside. And as soon I put one aside in particular, she yelled across the table, You're excluding that resume because with the name Marvin Morgan and being from Dorchester, you think he's a minority. That's discrimination.

LegaLink Boston (617) 542-0039

7\	$\nabla \cap \alpha$
Α.	res.

- Q. Again, I'd like you to list all the reasons.
- A. As I testified earlier, she lied to me.

 When I inquired about -- after receiving an e-mail from

 Liz Bowden and attempting to contact her on Thursday,

 March the 25th without success, a little later that

 morning, I attempted to contact the only other person

 who -- the only person who was involved in the hiring

 process, Alison Leaton.

I called her up. She answered. I inquired, What's this about a meeting tomorrow? What can you tell me? What's going on?

- Q. I just want to interrupt you. You don't have to repeat testimony that you already gave. It will speed things up if you can just refer back.
- A. Okay. And her reply was, Well, I don't know. You'll have to contact Liz Bowden.

Now, that's an outright lie. She did have knowledge. She had, unbeknownst to me, been trading e-mails with Liz Bowden concerning this whole subject. So that was an outright lie. She could have given me a tip, again, to allow me to be prepared.

By it's obvious to me it was a set-up.

and place for their interview.

By failing to do that -- as we know, Map

Quest, there are many mistakes in their directions, many

people -- maybe that's the reason for the three no-shows

which led to this whole bizarre series of events that

there were -- no wonder there were three no-shows. She

was referring to Map Quest, and people were calling and

coming late saying they had trouble with Map Quest

directions.

Why wouldn't Ms. Leaton take the basic courtesy of having a stock set of directions that she could recite to the prospective candidates? That's how every other HR person I've ever dealt with in my 26 years' of being involved in hiring did it. Why didn't Ms. Leaton take that simple step? It probably would have avoided this entire fiasco.

- Q. Is there any other reason other than the reasons you've mentioned that you think Ms. Leaton wrongfully interfered with your employment relationship with MBCR?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. List it.
- A. During this time period, the presidential primary elections were ongoing. In the normal course

of -- between interviews or business, we ended up discussing, you know, the candidates. And it turned out she was an avid supporter of Howard Dean and later John Kerry. And I was supporting George Bush. And she would carry on about that in an irrational manner to the point where -- at the time I dismissed it. But looking back at the series of events, I have to wonder, Did this play a role? Was she out to get me? She hated Bush and the Republicans that much that -- would she stoop this low to concoct this scheme?

Q. Okay. The question I'm asking you isn't -I'm not asking about her motivation. I'm asking about
what she did that you thought wrongfully interfered with
your employment. I'm going to ask you about her
motivation -- what you think about her motivation later
on.

But in terms of what she did that wrongfully interfered with your employment, that's the only question before you right now. Other than the things you've already testified to, is there anything else that you think she wrongfully did?

- A. No, not that I can recall right now. There might be one or two, but I can't recall.
 - Q. So the things that I've got listed are her